

EXHIBIT A

Approved, SCAO	Original - Court 1st copy - Defendant	2nd copy - Plaintiff 3rd copy - Return
STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL DISTRICT 38th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COUNTY PROBATE	SUMMONS	CASE NO. <i>22-145443-ND</i> <i>Judge Niemis</i>
Court address 106 E. FIRST STREET, MONROE, MI 48161	Court telephone no. (734) 240-7020	
Plaintiff's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s). PENNY SHROYER	Defendant's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s). DOLGENCORP, LLC, a Foreign Limited Liability Company, individually and d/b/a DOLLAR GENERAL #19632	
Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and telephone no. BRIAN L. FANTICH (P60935) CARRA J. STOLLER (P64540) ADAM J. GANTZ (P58558) 30903 NORTHWESTERN HWY., STE. 270 FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334		

Instructions: Check the items below that apply to you and provide any required information. Submit this form to the court clerk along with your complaint and, if necessary, a case inventory addendum (form MC 21). The summons section will be completed by the court clerk.

Domestic Relations Case

- There are no pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint.
- There is one or more pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint. I have separately filed a completed confidential case inventory (form MC 21) listing those cases.
- It is unknown if there are pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint.

Civil Case

- This is a business case in which all or part of the action includes a business or commercial dispute under MCL 600.8035.
- MDHHS and a contracted health plan may have a right to recover expenses in this case. I certify that notice and a copy of the complaint will be provided to MDHHS and (if applicable) the contracted health plan in accordance with MCL 400.106(4).
- There is no other pending or resolved civil action arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in the complaint.
- A civil action between these parties or other parties arising out of the transaction or occurrence alleged in the complaint has

been previously filed in this court, _____ Court, where

it was given case number _____ and assigned to Judge _____.

The action remains is no longer pending.

Summons section completed by court clerk.

SUMMONS

NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: In the name of the people of the State of Michigan you are notified:

1. You are being sued.
2. **YOU HAVE 21 DAYS** after receiving this summons and a copy of the complaint to file a written answer with the court and serve a copy on the other party or take other lawful action with the court (28 days if you were served by mail or you were served outside this state).
3. If you do not answer or take other action within the time allowed, judgment may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
4. If you require special accommodations to use the court because of a disability or if you require a foreign language interpreter to help you fully participate in court proceedings, please contact the court immediately to make arrangements.

Issue date	Expiration date*	Court clerk
8/10/22	11/9/22	<i>Charmaine Deno</i>

*This summons is invalid unless served on or before its expiration date. This document must be sealed by the seal of the court.

PROOF OF SERVICE**SUMMONS**

Case No. 22-145443MV

TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve the summons and complaint not later than 91 days from the date of filing or the date of expiration on the order for second summons. You must make and file your return with the court clerk. If you are unable to complete service you must return this original and all copies to the court clerk.

CERTIFICATE / AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE / NONSERVICE **OFFICER CERTIFICATE**

OR

 AFFIDAVIT OF PROCESS SERVER

I certify that I am a sheriff, deputy sheriff, bailiff, appointed court officer, or attorney for a party (MCR 2.104[A][2]).
and that: (notarization not required)

Being first duly sworn, I state that I am a legally competent adult, and I am not a party or an officer of a corporate party (MCR 2.103[A]), and that: (notarization required)

I served personally a copy of the summons and complaint,
 I served by registered or certified mail (copy of return receipt attached) a copy of the summons and complaint,

together with _____
List all documents served with the summons and complaint

on the defendant(s):

Defendant's name	Complete address(es) of service	Day, date, time

I have personally attempted to serve the summons and complaint, together with any attachments, on the following defendant(s) and have been unable to complete service.

Defendant's name	Complete address(es) of service	Day, date, time

I declare under the penalties of perjury that this proof of service has been examined by me and that its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

Service fee \$	Miles traveled \$	Fee \$	
Incorrect address fee \$	Miles traveled \$	Fee \$	TOTAL FEE \$

Signature

Name (type or print)

Title

Subscribed and sworn to before me on _____, County, Michigan.
Date _____

My commission expires: _____ Signature: _____
Date _____ Deputy court clerk/Notary public

Notary public, State of Michigan, County of _____

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE

I acknowledge that I have received service of the summons and complaint, together with _____ Attachments

on _____ Day, date, time _____

on behalf of _____

Signature _____

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF MONROE

PENNY SHROYER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 22-14544-BNO
Hon. Judge Nichols

DOLGENCORP, LLC, a Foreign
Limited Liability Company, individually
and d/b/a DOLLAR GENERAL #19632

Defendants.

LAW OFFICE OF KELMAN & FANTICH
BRIAN L. FANTICH P60935
CARRA J. STOLLER P64540
ADAM J. GANTZ P58558
Attorney for Plaintiff
30903 Northwestern #270
Farmington Hills, MI 48334
(248) 855-0100
FAX (248) 855-3557

There is no other civil action between these parties arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in this complaint pending in this court, nor has any such action been previously filed and dismissed or transferred after having been assigned to a judge, nor do I know of any other civil action, not between these parties, arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in this complaint that is either pending or was previously filed and dismissed, transferred, or otherwise disposed of after having been assigned to a judge in this court.

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES the above-named Plaintiff, by and through her attorneys, THE LAW OFFICE KELMAN & FANTICH, and files this Complaint against the Defendant and states as follows:

1. That Plaintiff is a resident of the City of Temperance, County of Monroe, State of Michigan.
2. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, prior and subsequent thereto, Defendant,

DOLGENCORP, LLC, a Foreign Limited Liability Company, individually and d/b/a DOLLAR GENERAL #19632 doing business at 7385 Summerfield Rd, in the City of Lambertville, County of Monroe, State of Michigan with its resident agent, CSC Lawyers Incorporating Service Co., 2900 West Rd., Ste. 500, East Lansing, MI 48223.

3. That the amount in controversy herein exceeds the sum of Twenty Five Thousand (\$25,000.00) Dollars exclusive of costs, interest and attorney fees.

4. That on or about October 30, 2019, the Defendants, did business and was the owners and/or maintainers of real property located at 7385 Summerfield Rd, in the City of Lambertville, County of Monroe, State of Michigan.

5. That on or about October 30, 2019, Defendants had exclusive possession and control over the area where the incident occurred.

6. That on or about October 30, 2019, Plaintiff was a business invitee at Defendant's establishment located at 7385 Summerfield Rd, in the City of Lambertville, County of Monroe, State of Michigan; that on that date, Plaintiff was walking on Defendant's premises when, suddenly and without warning, she slipped and fell on a slippery, transparent liquid substance that had been allowed to accumulate on the floor for an unreasonable length of time, which blended with the color and contour of the floor and which caused Plaintiff to sustain serious and disabling injuries, as more fully hereinafter set forth.

7. That at all times relevant to the within, the Defendants owed a duty to the Plaintiff to properly maintain the premises and were in a position to best control and prevent the condition exposing the Plaintiff to the unreasonable risk of harm, and knew of the defective and unsafe condition on the floor.

8. That the Defendant owed a duty to the Plaintiff to inspect the area to ensure that the premises would pose no risk of unreasonable harm to those lawfully on the premises.

9. That notwithstanding said knowledge and in total disregard of said duties, the Defendants breached the same by the following omissions, including but not limited to:
 - a. Allowing the transparent, wet, slippery condition to remain on the floor for an unreasonable period of time;
 - b. Failing to mop, clean and/or inspect the area, thereby negligently and carelessly increasing said hazardous condition;
 - c. Negligently and carelessly failing to keep the area in a condition fit for its intended and foreseeable use and allowing said camouflaged hazard to remain in the area where customers were known to traverse;
 - d. Failing to warn business invitees and others of the dangerous and hazardous condition on their premises.
10. That Defendants are liable for the negligent actions/inactions of its employees, representatives pursuant to the *doctrine of respondeat superior*.
11. That Defendant's under a separate and distinct duty owed to Plaintiff, are responsible for the active negligence of its employees and are liable to Plaintiff for the injuries sustained to her.
12. That Defendants under a separate and distinct duty owed to Plaintiffs Defendants negligently performed their respective obligations-duties to the detriment of Plaintiff under the contract causing severe and disabling injuries giving rise to tort liability.
13. That Defendants under a separate and distinct duty owed to Plaintiff Defendants through their respective active negligence created a new hazard altering the premises which posed an unreasonable risk of harm to the detriment of Plaintiff causing severe and disabling injuries.
14. That as a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, and all of them, the Plaintiff sustained damages including, but not necessarily limited to:

- a. Fractured left ankle resulting in nerve damage; altered gait, permanent limp, inability to ambulate, permanent scarring; diminished extension, flexion, and range of motion; injuries to her head, neck, back, and spine; severe injuries to her upper and lower extremities; decrease in gross and fine motor skills; severe shock, as well as physical pain and suffering;
- b. The requirement of intense therapy for injuries which are permanent in nature;
- c. Severe humiliation and embarrassment, which is of an ongoing and permanent nature;
- d. Loss of full ability to perform the normal vocational and avocational activities of life, and which prevent Plaintiff from participating in recreational activities, which loss is permanent;
- e. Past, present and future hospital, medical, and pharmaceutical bills for treatment and medication;
- f. Severe, frequent and persistent pain which is of a continuing and permanent nature.

15. That Defendants enjoyed joint possession and control over the are/premises where Plaintiff was injured.

16. That Defendants under a separate and distinct duty owed to Plaintiff Defendant's negligently directed/escorted Plaintiff to a defective area on the premises causing Plaintiff to sustain serious and disabling injuries.

17. That Defendants under a separate and distinct duty failed to direct/escort Plaintiff to a safe hazard free area, thereby causing Plaintiff to sustain serious and disabling injuries.

18. That Defendants under a separate and distinct duty owed to Plaintiff Defendant's negligently directed/escorted Plaintiff to a defective area on the premises causing Plaintiff to sustain serious and disabling injuries.

19. That Defendants under a separate and distinct duty failed to direct/escort Plaintiff to a safe hazard free area, thereby causing Plaintiff to sustain serious and disabling injuries.

20. The Defendants through a separate and distinct theory of liability are liable to

Plaintiff under the doctrine of res ipsa loquitur which the defendants breached violated.


21. The Defendants through a separate and distinct theory of liability are liable to Plaintiff under the doctrine of res ipsa loquitur which the defendants breached violated.

22. That in the event that Plaintiff was suffering from any other medical and/or emotional condition, then in that event, Plaintiff claims that those conditions were precipitated, aggravated and/or accelerated by reason of the foregoing incident herein described.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for Judgment against the Defendant in whatever amount above Twenty Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00) that Plaintiff may be found to be entitled plus costs, interest and attorney fees so wrongfully sustained.

LAW OFFICE OF KELMAN & FANTICH

Dated: August 8, 2022


By: 
BRIAN L. FANTICH P-60935
Attorney for Plaintiff
30903 Northwestern Hwy., Ste. 270
Farmington Hills, MI 48334
(248) 855-0100